

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 7457 Shih Fan Tsai 4101CN 10/666,414 09/18/2003 **EXAMINER** 04/27/2004 7590 Shih Fan Tsai KRAMER, DEVON C P.O. Box 63-99 PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** Taichung, **TAIWAN** 3683

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	γ	
Office Action Summary		10/666,4	14	TSAI, SHIH FAN	,	
		Examine	r	Art Unit		
		Devon C	Kramer	3683		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on th	e cover sheet w	vith the correspond nc address	is	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steeply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evon. a reply within the statement and will apply and wistatute, cause the app	ent, however, may a tutory minimum of thi vill expire SIX (6) MO blication to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this commu.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.	
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Qı</i>	<i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from co	nsideration.			
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election r	equirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	☐ objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s)	be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	•			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. N	ote the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52.	
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority un	der 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have bee	en received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have bee	en received in A	Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority docum	ents have beer	n received in this National Stag	је	
	application from the International Bu	•	. ,,			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the cert	ified copies no	t received.		
A+4	4/_\					
Attachmen  1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) T Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Paper No	(s)/Mail Date		
•	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/18/03</u> .	B/08)	5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	)	

Art Unit: 3683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1) Claims 1-9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 reads, "a holder seat for attaching to cycle". It would be more clear to cite, --a holder seat for attaching to a bicycle--. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3) Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshigai (4838387).

In re claim 1, Yoshigai provides a brake device comprising: a holder seat (5) for attaching to a bicycle, at least one brake arm (3, 4) pivotally attached to said holder seat with a shaft (2), and including a brake shoe (1) attached thereto for braking the cycle, at least one pole (9) attached to said holder seat, and including a stud (9) provided on one end thereof, and a coil spring (6) engaged onto said shaft, and including a first end engaged with said at least one brake arm (8), and a second end engaged with said stud of said at least one pole, to apply a spring biasing force against said at least one brake arm and to recover said at least one brake arm. Please note that the pole piece (9) of Yoshigai can be considered to be a stud.

Art Unit: 3683

## Claim R j ctions - 35 USC § 103

- 4) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5) Claims 2-3, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshigai (4838387) in view of Tseng (5996743).

In re claims 2-3, Yoshigai lacks the teaching of an oblong hole to adjust the spring biasing force.

Tseng teaches the use of an oblong hole (13) to slidably receive a pole (3) to adjust a spring force including a fastener (4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the at the time of the invention to have provided the pole of Yoshigai with an oblong hole as taught by Tseng in order to provide a means to adjust the spring force and since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. In re Stevens, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

In re claim 7, Yoshigai lacks the teaching of an oblong hole in the holder seat to attach the holder seat to the bicycle.

The use of oblong holes in bicycle brake parts is notorius as demonstracted by Tseng (11, 13) to allow for brake part adjustment.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the holder seat of Yoshigai with an oblong hole as seen in

Art Unit: 3683

Tsai since the oblong hole enables adjustability of the device and since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. In re Stevens, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshigai (4838387) in view of Tseng (5996743) and further in view of Gelbein (5503252) and further in view of Yoshikawa (5464074).

Both Yoshigai and Tseng lack the teaching of an oblong depression.

Gelbein teaches the use of an oblong depression (50) along an oblong hole for an adjustment in a bicycle brake.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the brake device of Yoshigai as modified by Tseng with an oblong depression as taught by Gelbein merely to provide a seating surface for the pole piece flush with the brake member.

Yoshigai, Tseng and Gelbein lack the teaching of an enlarged head.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the brake device of Yoshigai as modified by Tseng and further modified by Gelbein with an enlarged stop head as taught by Yoshikawa in order to prevent the spring from slipping or coming off the pole piece and rendering the brake unoperational.

Art Unit: 3683

9) Claims 5-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshigai (4838387) in view of Yoshikawa (5464074).

In re claim 5, Yoshigai lacks the teaching of a pole including an enlarged stop panel.

Yoshikawa teaches the use of a pole (29d) with an enlarged stop panel to form a peripheral groove where one end of a coil spring is attached.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the pole of Yoshigai with an enlarged stop panel as taught by Yoshikawa in order to prevent the spring from slipping or coming off the pole piece and rendering the brake unoperational.

In re claim 6, Yoshigai lacks the teaching of a hook or a recess on the spring.

Yoshikawa teaches the use of a hook and recess on the spring (21B) to receive a stud (29d).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the spring of Yoshigai with the spring as taught by Yoshikawa in order to prevent the spring from slipping or coming off the pole piece and rendering the brake unoperational.

Art Unit: 3683

10) Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshigai (4838387) in view of Tseng (5996743) and further in view of Yang (6415690).

Both Yoshigai and Tseng lack the teaching of a cap threaded on and received in the oblong hole.

Yang teaches the use of a cap (70) thread on and received in an oblong hole, the cap having a flat surface to engage the sides of the oblong hole.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the brake assembly of Yoshigai as modified by Tseng with the cap as taught by Yang merely to prevent the screw / cap mechanism from becoming loose.

#### Conclusion

- 11) The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nagano, Lauzier, and Fuji provide bicycle brakes with similar spring arrangements to the instant application.
- 12) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C Kramer whose telephone number is 703-305-0839. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

Der ort

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DK

.